### Diffraction @ CDF

Konstantin Goulianos *The Rockefeller University* 

### The Future of QCD at the Tevatron Fermilab, 20-22 May 2004



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

2



Run #	Dates	pb-1	Physics
1-0	1988-89	~5	Elastic, Diffractive & Total x-sections
1-A,B	1992-95	~120	Rapidity Gaps
1-C	1995-96	~10	Roman Pots

3

# Run 1-0 (1988-89)

Elastic, single diffractive, and total cross sections



#### @ 546 and 1800 GeV **Roman Pot Spectrometers**



#### Roman Pot Detectors

- Scintillation trigger counters
- Wire chamber
- Double-sided silicon strip detector  $\geq$

ARM

Additional Detectors Trackers up to  $|\eta| = 7$ 

### Results

- Total cross section
- Elastic cross section
- Single diffraction

Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

 $\sigma^{\text{tot}} \sim S^{\varepsilon}$  $d\sigma/dt \sim \exp[2\alpha' \ln s] \rightarrow shrinking$  forward peak Breakdown of Regge factorization

## Total & Elastic Cross Sections



$$f = \Delta y' = \ln s$$

$$f = \sigma_o s^{\varepsilon} = \sigma_o e^{\varepsilon \Delta y'}$$

The exponential rise of  $\sigma_{\mathsf{T}}$  is a QCD aspect expected in the parton model

(see E. Levin, An Introduction to Pomerons, Preprint DESY 98-120)

$$f_{el}(s,t) \propto e^{(\varepsilon + \alpha' t)\Delta y}$$

Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

(Run I-0)



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

6





7

### QCD Basis for Renormalization

### (KG, hep-ph/0205141)



Renormalization removes the s-dependence  $\rightarrow$  M<sup>2</sup>-SCALING

Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

Experimentally:  
KG&JM, PRD 59 (114017) 1999
$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP-IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP-p}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104 \\
\kappa = \frac{g_{IP}}{\beta_{IP}} = 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad$$

Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

 $\boldsymbol{\prec}$ 

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF K. Goulianos



## Soft Central and Double Gaps



#### **Double Diffraction Dissociation**

> One central gap



#### **Double Pomeron Exchange**

> Two forward gaps



#### **SDD:** Single+Double Diffraction

> One forward + one central gap

### Generalized Renormalization

(KG, hep-ph/0205141)



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

## Central & Double-Gap Results





Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

# Soft Gap Survival Probability



# Soft Diffraction Conclusions

### Experiment:

- > M<sup>2</sup> scaling
- Non-suppressed double-gap to single-gap ratios

### Phenomenology:

- Generalized renormalization
- Obtain Pomeron intercept and tripe-Pomeron coupling from inclusive PDF's and color factors

Soft diffraction is understood ! (?)

## Hard Diffraction

- Diffractive Fractions
- Diffractive Structure Function
- Factorization breakdown and restoration
- > DSF from inclusive PDF's
- Hard diffraction conclusions

### **Diffractive Fractions**



The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDFK. Goulianos

### <u>Diffractive Structure F'n</u> $\overline{p} + p \rightarrow \overline{p} + Jet + Jet + X$

• Measure ratio of SD/ND dijet rates as a f'n of  $x_{\overline{p}}$ 

$$x_{\overline{p}} \equiv p_{g,q}/p_{\overline{p}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2(3)} E_{T}^{i} \cdot e^{-\eta^{i}}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

$$R_{\frac{SD}{ND}}(x_{\overline{p}}) \approx R_0 \cdot x_{\overline{p}}^{-0.45}$$

• In LO-QCD ratio of rates equals ratio of structure fn's

$$F_{jj}(x_{\overline{p}}) = x_{\overline{p}} \left[ g(x_{\overline{p}}) + \frac{C_F}{C_A} \sum (q_i(x_{\overline{p}}) + \overline{q}_i(x_{\overline{p}})) \right]$$

SD/ND Rates vs X<sub>p</sub>



### Breakdown of QCD Factorization

HERA

The clue to understanding the Pomeron 
TEVATRON



### **Restoring Diffractive Factorization**



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

### DSF from Inclusive pdf's (KG)



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDFK. Goulianos21

# Pomeron Intercept from H1

### H1 Diffractive Effective $\alpha_{IP}(0) \alpha_{IP}(t) = 1 + \varepsilon + \alpha' t$



The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF

# <u>ξ-dependence: Inclusive vs Dijets</u>



# <u>Enery Dependence of $F_{JJ}^{D}$ </u>



### Hard Diffraction Conclusions

Diffraction appears to be a low-x exchange subject to color constraints

# Summary of Run I Results

SOFT DIFFRACTION

- $> M^2 scaling$
- Non-suppressed double-gap to single-gap ratios

HARD DIFFRACTION

- Flavor-independent SD/ND ratios
- Factorization breakdown and restoration

Universality of gap prob. across soft and hard diffraction

# **Run II Diffractive Program**

- Single Diffraction

  - ξ and Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of F<sub>jj</sub><sup>D</sup>
     Process dependence of F<sup>D</sup>(W, b, J/ψ,...)
- Double Diffraction
  - > Jet-Gap-Jet:  $\Delta \eta^{gap}$  for large fixed  $\Delta \eta^{jet}$
- Double Pomeron Exchange
  - F<sub>ii</sub><sup>D</sup> on p-side vs ξ-pbar

Also:

Exclusive central production

 $\blacktriangleright$  Dijets,  $\chi_c$ , low mass states, Higgs(!)(?)... Other



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

K. Goulianos

# CDF-II



# MiniPlug Calorimeter



About 1500 wavelength shifting fibers of 1 mm dia. are 'strung' through holes drilled in  $36x\frac{1}{4}$ " lead plates sandwiched between reflective Al sheets and guided into bunches to be viewed individually by multi-channel photomultipliers.

# Artist's View of MiniPlug



Fermilab 20-22 May 2004

Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of DSF







Fermilab 20-22 May 2004



The Future of QCD: Diffraction @CDF K. Goulianos 34

### Merits/Problems/Needs

### <u>Merits of CDF Run II diffractive program</u>

□ Measuring ξ with calorimeters → full acceptance
 → overlap rejection
 □ BSC gap triggers → can take data at high luminosities

<u>But:</u>

BSC gap rejects some diffractive events from MP spillover
 Useful rates too low for many processes, e. g. exclusive b-bbar

Need:

- □ Low luminosity runs for calibrations:
  - ξ-roman pot vs ξ-calorimeter
  - BSC gap trigger vs roman pot trigger

<u>Also need:</u>

\$\$\$ to instrument MPs from current 84 to all 256 channels for EM/hadron discrimination and better jet definition



### <u>Run II</u>

□ CDF has a comprehensive Run II diffractive program

- □ Modest upgrades & special runs are desirable
  - > Full MiniPlug instrumentation
  - > Low luminosity (~ $10^{30}$ ) runs for calibrations
  - > Data run at 630 GeV

### Beyond Run II

Can think of improvements, but of no compelling diffractive physics that cannot be done in Run II