Diffractive and Exclusive Dijets and W/Z at CDF

K. Goulianos

The Rockefeller University

Contents

- Introduction
- Diffractive W/Z
- Exclusive JJ

Introduction

Soft and hard diffraction @ CDF








SD kinematics


ξ,t
M_x


p̄′
ξ · p_L
p
p̄
M_x
p̄′
rap-gap
Δη=-lnξ
dN/dη
0
η

Breakdown of factorization - Run I







Magnitude: same suppression factor in soft and hard diffraction!
Shape of β distribution: ZEUS, H1, and Tevatron – why different shapes?

Hard diffractive fractions - Run I

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow ($  $+ X) + \text{gap}$



Fraction:
SD/ND ratio
@ 1800 GeV

	Fraction %
JJ	0.75 +/- 0.10
W	0.115 +/- 0.55
b	0.62 +/- 0.25
J/ψ	1.45 +/- 0.25

All fractions ~ 1%
(differences due to kinematics)

- ~ uniform suppression
- ~ FACTORIZATION ! !

Multi-gap diffraction – Run I
➔ restoring factorization



R(SD/ND)

R(DPE/SD)

DSF from two/one gap: factorization restored!







The diffractive structure function measured on the proton side in events with a leading antiproton is NOT suppressed relative to predictions based on DDIS

ξ & β dependence of F^D_{jj} - Run I



$$\frac{d\sigma_{incl}}{d\xi} \propto \text{constant}$$

$$F^D_{jj}(\beta,\xi) \sim \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi}$$

Pomeron dominated



Diffractive structure function - Run II

Q^2 - dependence



- Small Q2 dependence in region $100<Q^2<10\,000$ GeV2 where each $d\sigma^{ND}/dE_T$ $d\sigma^{ND}/dE_T$ vary by a factor of ~10^4

➔ The Pomeron evolves as the proton !



Diffractive structure function – Run II

t - dependence





Fit dσ/dt to a double exponential:

$$F = 0.9 \cdot e^{b_1 \cdot t} + 0.1 \cdot e^{b_2 \cdot t}$$

- No diffraction dips
- No Q2 dependence in slope from inclusive to $Q^2 \sim 10^4$ GeV^2

- Same slope over entire region of $0 < Q^2 < \sim 10\,000$ GeV^2 across soft and hard diffraction!

Looks like…

… the underlying diffractive PDF on a hard scale is similar to the proton PDF except for small differences - presumably due to the requirement of combining with the soft PDF to form a spin 1 color singlet with vacuum quantum numbers.

Diffractive W/Z production





- Diffractive W production probes the quark content of the Pomeron
  - To leading order, the W is produced by a quark in the Pomeron

- Production by gluons is suppressed by a factor of α_S, and can be distinguished from quark production by an associated jet

Diffractive W/Z - motivation

- **In Run I**, by combining diffractive dijet production with diffractive W production we determined the quark/gluon content of the Pomeron ===➔
- **In Run II** we aim at determining the diffractive structure function for a more direct comparison with HERA.
- To accomplish this we use:
  - ➢ New forward detectors
  - ➢ New methodology
  - ➢ More data

Phys Rev Lett **78**, 2698 (1997)

Fraction of W events due to SD

$R^W=[1.15\pm0.51(stat)\pm0.20(syst)]\%$

for $\xi<0.1$ integrated over t



The DF II detectors



RPS acceptance ~80% for 0.03 < x < 0.1 and |t| < 0.1

The MiniPlugs @ CDF



Diffractive W/Z analysis

Using RPS information:

- No background from gaps due to multiplicity fluctuations
- No gap survival probability systematics
- The RPS provides accurate event-by-event ξ measurement
- Determine the full kinematics of diffractive W production by obtaining η_ν using the equation:

$$\xi^{RPS} - \xi^{cal} = \frac{\not{E}_T}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\eta_\nu}$$

where

$$\xi^{cal} = \sum_{towers} \frac{E_T}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\eta}$$

This allows the determination of:

- W mass
- x_{Bj}
- Diffractive structure function

W/Z selection requirements

Standard W/Z selection

$E_T^e (p_T^\mu > 25$ GeV

$\not{M}_T > 25$ GeV

$40 < M_T^W < 120$ GeV

$|Z_{vtx}| < 60$ cm

$E_T^{e1} (p_T^{\mu 1} > 25$ GeV

$E_T^{e2} (p_T^{\mu 2} > 25$ GeV

$66 < M^Z < 116$ GeV

$|Z_{vtx}| < 60$ cm

Diffractive W/Z selection

- RPS trigger counters - MIP
- RPS track - $0.03 < \xi < 0.10$, $|t| < 1$
- W → $50 < M_W(\xi^{RPS}, \xi^{cal}) < 120$
- Z → $\xi^{cal} < 0.1$

Reconstructed diffractive W mass



Rejection of multiple interaction events





Diffractive W/Z results

R^W (0.03 < ξ < 0.10, |t|<1)= [0.97 ± 0.05(stat) ± 0.11(syst)]%

Run I: R^W =1.15±0.55 % for ξ<0.1➔ estimate **0.97±0.47 %** in **0.03 < ξ < 0.10 & |t|<1)**

R^Z (0.03 < x < 0.10, |t|<1)= [0.85 ± 0.20(stat) ± 0.11(syst)]%

CDF/DØ Comparison – Run I (ξ < 0.1)

CDF PRL 78, 2698 (1997)	DØ Phys Lett B **574**, 169 (2003)
R^W=[1.15±0.51(stat)±0.20(syst)]%	R^W=[5.1±0.51(stat)±0.20(syst)]%
gap acceptance A^{gap}=0.81	gap acceptance A^{gap}=(0.21±4)%
uncorrected for A^{gap} ➔	uncorrected for A^{gap}➔
R^W=(0.93±0.44)%	R^W=[0.89+0.19-0.17]%
(A^{gap} calculated from MC)	R^Z=[1.44+0.61-0.52]%

Stay connected tor results on $F^D_{W/Z}$

EXCLUSIVE JJ & HIGGS BOSONS



$M_H^2 = (p + \bar{p} - p' - \bar{p}')^2$

→ ΔM~(1-2) GeV

Determine spin of H

Exclusive dijet and Higg production

URL: http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v77/e052004 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.052004

ExHuME





DPEMC





The DPE data sample

$$\xi_{\mathrm{pbar}}^{\mathrm{CAL}} = \sum_{\mathrm{towers}} \frac{E_T}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\eta}$$



Kinematic distributions



Exclusive dijet signal

dijet mass fraction – all jets



b-jet dijet mass fraction



Excess observed over POMWIG MC prediction at large Rjj

Exclusive b-jets are suppressed as expected (J_Z= 0 selection rule)

Underlying event



Jet1 vs. Jet2: signal and background regions



DATA

A: signal region
B: background region

POMWIG

Background region



Inclusive DPE ^{W}/LRG-p data vs. MC

ExHuME ←exclusive MC models→ DPEMC



ExHuME (KMR): gg→gg process
(based on LO pQCD)

DPEMC: exclusive DPE MC
based on Regge theory

Shape of excess of events at high R_{jj}
is well described by both ExHuME & DPEMC

HF suppression vs. inclusive signal

HF suppression HF vs. incl



Invert HF vertically and compare with 1-MC/DATA

➔ good agreement observed

ExHuME vs. DPEMC and vs. data



- Measured x-sections favor ExHuME
- KMR x 1/3 agrees with data
  - ➔ Within theoretical uncertainty of +/- factor of 3
- $\sigma_{jj}^{excl}/\sigma^{incl}$ approx. independent of E_T^{min}
  - ➔ WHY?

Exclusive dijet x-section vs. M_{jj}



curve: ExHuME hadron-level exclusive dijet cross sections vs. dijet mass

points: derived from CDF excl. dijet x-sections using ExHuME

Stat. and syst. errors are propagated from measured cross section uncertainties using M_{jj} distribution shapes of ExHuME generated data.

DIJET

SUMMARY

HIGGS ?

W/Z

- Introduction
  - diffractive PDF looks like proton PDF

- Diffractive W/Z – RPS data
  - W diffractive fraction in agreement with Run I
  - W/Z diffractive fractions equal within error
  - New techniques developed to enable extracting the diffractive structure function in W production

- Exclusive dijet/(Higgs?) production
  - Results favor ExHuME over DPEMC
    Phys. Rev. D **77**, 052004 (2008)

BACKUP

Measurements w/the MiniPlugs

Dynamic Alignment of RPS Detectors

E^{T}_{jet} Calibration

Measurements ^{w}/the MiniPlugs



MP TOWER STRUCTURE

MULTIPLICITY @ POSITION

ENERGY



Multiplicity of SD and ND events

$$\xi^{CAL} = \frac{\sum_i E_T^i e^{-\eta_i}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

NIM A 430 (1999)

NIM A 496 (2003)

NIM A 518 (2004)





ADC counts in MiniPlug towers in a pbar-p event at 1960 GeV.

- **"jet" indicates an energy cluster and may be just a hadron.**
- **1000 counts ~ 1 GeV**

Dynamic Alignment of RPS Detectors

Method: iteratively adjust the RPS X and Y offsets from the nominal beam axis until a maximum in the b-slope is obtained @ t=0.











Limiting factors

1-statistics
2-beam size
3-beam jitter

@ CDF
w/lowlum data

$\pm 30\ \mu m$

E_T^{jet} Calibration

➔use RPS information to check jet energy corrections⇐



Calibrate E_T^{jet} or ξ, as you wish!


thank you