#### Predictions for diffraction compared to LHC results



Konstantin Goulianos The Rockefeller University http://physics.rockefeller.edu/dino/my.html



#### SAARISELKÄ, FINLAND

http://www.hip.fi/EDS2013//





Total pp cross section: predicted in a unitarized parton model approach, which does not employ eikonalization and does not depend on the ρ-value.

#### Diffractive cross sections:

- □ SD single dissociation: one of the protons dissociates.
- DD double dissociation: both protons dissociate.
- CD central diffraction: neither proton dissociates, but there is central diffractive production of particles.

□ <u>Triple-Pomeron coupling</u>: uniquely determined.

This is an updated version of a talk presented at LowX-2013.

#### DIFFRACTION IN QCD

#### Non-diffractive events

♦ color-exchange → η-gaps exponentially suppressed

#### **Diffractive events**

- Colorless vacuum exchange
- $\rightarrow$   $\eta$ -gaps not suppressed



Goal: probe the QCD nature of the diffractive exchange

#### **DEFINITIONS**



#### DIFFRACTION AT CDF



# Basic and combined diffractive processes



4-gap diffractive process-Snowmass 2001- http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/0110240



# Regge theory – values of $s_0 \& g_{PPP}$ ?



## A complication ... → Unitarity!

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma_{el}}{dt}\right)_{t=0} \sim \left(\frac{s}{s_o}\right)^{2\epsilon}, \ \sigma_t \sim \left(\frac{s}{s_o}\right)^{\epsilon}, \ \text{and} \ \sigma_{sd} \sim \left(\frac{s}{s_o}\right)^{2\epsilon}$$

σ<sub>sd</sub> grows faster than σ<sub>t</sub> as s increases
 Junitarity violation at high s
 (similarly for partial x-sections in impact parameter space)

 $\Box$  the unitarity limit is already reached at  $\sqrt{s} \sim 2$  TeV !

need unitarization



# Single diffraction renormalized - 1

KG → CORFU-2001: http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0203141



# Single diffraction renormalized - 2

Experimentally:  

$$K = \frac{g_{IP-IP-IP}(t)}{\beta_{IP-p-}(0)} \approx 0.17$$
  
 $K = \frac{g_{IP-IP-IP}(t)}{\beta_{IP-p-}(0)} \approx 0.17 \pm 0.02, \quad \varepsilon = 0.104$   
 $KG\&JM, PRD 59 (114017) 1999$   
 $1 \qquad 1 \qquad Q^2 = 1 \qquad 0.75 \ 1 \qquad 0.25 \ 1 \qquad 0.25$ 

$$\mathsf{QCD}: \kappa = \mathbf{f}_g \times \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} + \mathbf{f}_q \times \frac{1}{N_c} \xrightarrow{Q^- = 1} \approx 0.75 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0.25 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0.18$$

# Single diffraction renormalized - 3

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{sd}(s, M^2, t)}{dM^2 dt} &= \left[\frac{\sigma_{\circ}}{16\pi} \sigma_{\circ}^{I\!Pp}\right] \frac{s^{2\epsilon}}{N(s, s_o)} \frac{e^{bt}}{(M^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \\ b &= b_0 + 2\alpha' \ln \frac{s}{M^2} \qquad s_o^{\text{CMG}} = (3.7 \pm 1.5) \text{ GeV}^2 \\ \overline{N(s, s_o)} &\equiv \int_{\xi_{\min}}^{\xi_{\max}} d\xi \int_{t=0}^{-\infty} dt \, f_{I\!P/p}(\xi, t) \stackrel{s \to \infty}{\to} \sim s_o^{\epsilon} \frac{s^{2\epsilon}}{\ln s} \\ \frac{d^2 \sigma_{sd}(s, M^2, t)}{dM^2 dt} \stackrel{s \to \infty}{\to} \sim \ln s \, \frac{e^{bt}}{(M^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \\ \overline{\sigma_{sd}} \stackrel{s \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \sim \frac{\ln s}{b \to \ln s} \Rightarrow const \end{split}$$

# M<sup>2</sup> distribution: data → d<sub>\sigma/dM<sup>2</sup>|<sub>t=-0.05</sub> ~ independent of s over 6 orders of magnitude!</sub>



Independent of S over 6 orders of magnitude in M<sup>2</sup>
 → M<sup>2</sup> scaling



#### → factorization breaks down to ensure M<sup>2</sup> scaling!

# Scale s<sub>0</sub> and *PPP* coupling

Pomeron flux: interpret as gap probability  $\rightarrow$  set to unity: determines g<sub>PPP</sub> and s<sub>0</sub> KG, PLB 358 (1995) 379



Pomeron-proton x-section

- $\Box$  Two free parameters: s<sub>o</sub> and g<sub>PPP</sub>
- **D** Obtain product  $g_{PPP} \cdot s_0^{\epsilon/2}$  from  $\sigma_{SD}$
- ❑ Renormalized Pomeron flux determines s₀
- Get unique solution for g<sub>PPP</sub>

#### Saturation at low Q<sup>2</sup> and small x



## DD at CDF



## SDD at CDF



## CD/DPE at CDF



### **Difractive cross sections**

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{SD}}{dt d\Delta y} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{gap}}(s)} \left[ \frac{\beta^2(t)}{16\pi} e^{2[\alpha(t)-1]\Delta y} \right] \cdot \left\{ \kappa \beta^2(0) \left( \frac{s'}{s_0} \right)^{\epsilon} \right\},$$

$$\frac{d^3 \sigma_{DD}}{dt d\Delta y dy_0} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{gap}}(s)} \left[ \frac{\kappa \beta^2(0)}{16\pi} e^{2[\alpha(t)-1]\Delta y} \right] \cdot \left\{ \kappa \beta^2(0) \left( \frac{s'}{s_0} \right)^{\epsilon} \right\},$$

$$\frac{d^4 \sigma_{DPE}}{dt_1 dt_2 d\Delta y dy_c} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{gap}}(s)} \left[ \Pi_i \left[ \frac{\beta^2(t_i)}{16\pi} e^{2[\alpha(t_i)-1]\Delta y_i} \right] \right] \cdot \kappa \left\{ \kappa \beta^2(0) \left( \frac{s'}{s_0} \right)^{\epsilon} \right\},$$

$$\beta^2(t) = \beta^2(0)F^2(t)$$

$$F^{2}(t) = \left[\frac{4m_{p}^{2} - 2.8t}{4m_{p}^{2} - t} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{0.71}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \approx a_{1}e^{b_{1}t} + a_{2}e^{b_{2}t}$$

 $α_1=0.9, α_2=0.1, b_1=4.6 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, b_2=0.6 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, s'=s e^{-\Delta y}, \kappa=0.17, \\
κβ^2(0)=σ_0, s_0=1 \text{ GeV}^2, σ_0=2.82 \text{ mb or } 7.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ 

## Total, elastic & inelastic cross sections

$$\sigma_{\rm ND} = (\sigma_{\rm tot} - \sigma_{\rm el}) - (2\sigma_{\rm SD} + \sigma_{\rm DD} + \sigma_{\rm CD})$$

$$\mathsf{CMG} \quad \text{R. J. M. Covolan, K. Goulianos, J. Montanha, Phys. Lett. B 389, 176 (1996)}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm tot}^{p^{\pm}p} = \begin{cases} 16.79s^{0.104} + 60.81s^{-0.32} \mp 31.68s^{-0.54} & \text{for } \sqrt{s} < 1.8 \\ \sigma_{\rm tot}^{\rm CDF} + \frac{\pi}{s_0} \left[ \left( \ln \frac{s}{s_F} \right)^2 - \left( \ln \frac{s^{\rm CDF}}{s_F} \right)^2 \right] & \text{for } \sqrt{s} \ge 1.8 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathsf{KG Moriond 2011, arXiv:1105.1916}$$

$$\boxed{\sqrt{s^{\rm CDF}} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}, \sigma_{\rm tot}^{\rm CDF} = 80.03 \pm 2.24 \text{ mb}}_{\sqrt{s_F} = 22 \text{ GeV}} \quad s_0 = 3.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2}$$

 $\sigma_{el}^{p \pm p} = \sigma_{tot} \times (\sigma_{el} / \sigma_{tot}), \text{ with } \sigma_{el} / \sigma_{tot} \text{ from CMG}$ small extrapol. from 1.8 to 7 and up to 50 TeV )



- This formula should be valid above the knee in  $\sigma_{sd}$  vs.  $\sqrt{s}$  at  $\sqrt{s}_F = 22$  GeV (Fig. 1) and therefore valid at  $\sqrt{s} = 1800$  GeV.
- Use  $m^2 = s_o$  in the Froissart formula multiplied by 1/0.389 to convert it to mb<sup>-1</sup>.
- Note that contributions from Reggeon exchanges at  $\sqrt{s} = 1800$  GeV are negligible, as can be verified from the global fit of Ref. [7].
- Obtain the total cross section at the LHC:

$$\sigma_t^{\text{LHC}} = \sigma_t^{\text{CDF}} + \frac{\pi}{s_o} \cdot \left( \ln^2 \frac{s^{\text{LHC}}}{s_F} - \ln^2 \frac{s^{\text{CDF}}}{s_F} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \textbf{98 \pm 8 \ mb \ at \ 7 \ TeV} \\ \textbf{109 \pm 12 \ mb \ at \ 14 \ TeV} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Main error} \\ \text{from } \textbf{s}_0 \end{array}$$

# Reducing the uncertainty in s<sub>0</sub>

#### Saturation glueball?



#### TOTEM results vs PYTHIA8-MBR



#### SD and DD cross sections vs predictions



\* KG\*: from CMS measurements after extrapolation into low ξ using the KG model.

#### Inelastic cross sections at LHC vs predictions



## Monte Carlo Strategy for the LHC ...

#### **MONTE CARLO STRATEGY**

□  $\sigma_{tot}$  → from SUPERBALL model □ optical theorem → Im  $f_{el}(t=0)$ □ dispersion relations → Re  $f_{el}(t=0)$ □  $\sigma_{el}$  ← using global fit

σ<sub>T</sub> ↓ optical theorem Im f<sub>el</sub>(t=0) ↓ dispersion relations Re f<sub>el</sub>(t=0)

□  $σ_{inel} = σ_{tot} − σ_{el}$ □ differential  $σ_{sp} →$  from RENORM

□ use *nesting* of final states for

pp collisions at the P-p sub-energy  $\sqrt{s}$ 

Strategy similar to that of MBR used in CDF based on multiplicities from: K. Goulianos, Phys. Lett. B 193 (1987) 151 pp

"A new statistical description of hardonic and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> multiplicity distributios "

## Monte Carlo algorithm - nesting



## SUMMARY

Introduction Diffractive cross sections: basic: SD1,SD2, DD, CD (DPE) derived from ND and QCD color factors combined: multigap x-sections  $\rightarrow$  ND  $\rightarrow$  no diffractive gaps: this is the only final state to be tuned Total, elastic, and total inelastic cross sections Monte Carlo strategy for the LHC – "nesting" Thank you for your attention

#### Fermilab 1971 First American-Soviet Collaboration Elastic, diffractive and total cross sections



## Fermilab1989 Opening night at Chez Leon



